

Classification of  $C^*$ -algebras  
admitting ergodic actions of the  
two-dimensional torus

by

Raphael Høegh-Krohn and Tor Skjelbred  
Matematisk institutt, Oslo Universitet

Abstract

We give a complete classification under  $*$ isomorphism of the  $C^*$ -algebras which admit an ergodic action of the two-dimensional torus.

# 1. Ergodic actions of the two-dimensional torus

Let  $G$  be the two-dimensional torus, and  $\alpha$  a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $\text{Aut}(A)$  where  $\text{Aut}(A)$  is the group of  $*$ automorphisms of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . We assume  $g \mapsto \alpha_g(a)$  to be continuous in the norm on  $A$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $a \in A$ . If  $\alpha$  does not act effectively on  $A$ , its kernel is a closed subgroup  $H$ . It is easy to see that  $G/H$  is either the two-dimensional torus or the one-dimensional circle, and in the second case it is easy to see that since  $G/H$  acts ergodically  $A = \mathbb{C}$ , the complex numbers. In the first case  $G/H$  is the two-dimensional torus and acts effectively and ergodically. We may therefore just as well assume that  $\alpha$  acts effectively on  $A$ .

It was proven in [1, Corollary 4.3] that if  $G$  acts ergodically on  $A$  effectively on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then  $A = A_\rho$  where  $A_\rho$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by a faithful projective unitary representation

$$\gamma \mapsto a_\gamma \tag{1}$$

of the dual group  $Z^2 = \hat{G}$ , where

$$a_{\gamma_1} a_{\gamma_2} = c_\rho(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) a \tag{2}$$

and the multiplier  $c_\rho$  is given by  $c_\rho(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) = e^{-2\pi i \lambda n_2 \tilde{n}_1}$  with  $\gamma = (n_1, n_2)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{n}_1, \tilde{n}_2)$  and  $\rho = e^{2\pi i \lambda}$ . The unitary multiplier representation (2) is implemented by letting  $a$  and  $b$  be the unitary operators on  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$  given by  $(af)(x) = f(x+\lambda)$  and  $(bf)(x) = e^{2\pi i x} f(x)$  and

$$a_{(n_1, n_2)} = a^{n_1} b^{n_2} \tag{3}$$

Then  $ab = \rho ba$  and  $A_\rho$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the operator  $a$  and  $b$ . By interchanging  $a$  and  $b$  we see that  $A_\rho \cong A_{\bar{\rho}}$ .

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1

Let  $\alpha$  be a continuous ergodic effective action of the two-dimensional torus on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $A \cong A_\rho$  where  $A_\rho \cong B(L_2(\mathbb{R}))$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $a$  and  $b$  where  $(af)(x) = f(x+\lambda)$ ,  $(bf)(x) = e^{2\pi i x} f(x)$ ,  $\rho = e^{2\pi i \lambda}$  and  $\alpha_g(a^{n_1} b^{n_2}) = \gamma(g) a^{n_1} b^{n_2}$ ,  $\gamma = (n_1, n_2)$ .

Moreover, if  $A_\rho \cong A_\sigma$  then  $\rho = \sigma$  or  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ .

This theorem gives a complete classification of the ergodic actions of  $G$  on  $C^*$ -algebras. The first part of the theorem is as we have already pointed out a consequence of the results in [1]. What remains to be proven is that if  $A_\rho \cong A_\sigma$  then  $\rho = \sigma$  or  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ . This problem is very different according as  $\rho$  is a root of unity or not. If  $\rho$  is not a root of unity then the  $A_\rho$  are called the irrational rotation algebras. These algebras were studied by M.A. Rieffel [2], M. Pimsner, and D. Voiculescu [3]. From their work it follows that for the irrational rotation algebras  $A_\rho \cong A_\sigma$  only if  $\rho = \sigma$  or  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ . The method in [3] is by imbedding  $A_\rho$  into an AF-algebra and computing  $K_0$  of the AF-algebra. This method utilizes the discrete structure of  $A_\rho$  when  $\rho$  is not a root of unity, and does not extend to the case when  $\rho$  is a root of unity. Hence we need only to consider the case when  $\rho$  is a root of unity.

Let therefore  $\rho$  be a primitive  $q$ -th root of unity, and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  the two generators of the character group  $\hat{G} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ; then the action  $\alpha_g$  of  $G$  on  $A_\rho$  is given by

$$\alpha_g(a^i b^j) = x_1(g)^i x_2(g)^j a^i b^j, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (4)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are the generators of  $A_\rho$  such that  $ab = \rho ba$ . We shall now construct  $A_\rho$  explicitly as a subalgebra of  $C(G) \otimes M_q$  where  $M_q$  is the algebra of  $q \times q$  matrices, and  $C(G)$  the algebra of continuous functions on  $G$ . The embedding of  $A_\rho$  in  $C(G) \otimes M_q$  comes about as follows.  $G$  is acting ergodically on the centre  $C_\rho$  of  $A_\rho$ . For some compact space  $X$ ,  $C_\rho = C(X)$  and there is a group action  $\beta$  of  $G$  on  $X$  such that for  $c \in C_\rho$ ,  $z \in X$

$$\alpha_g(c)(z) = c(\beta_g^{-1}(z))$$

Because of ergodicity  $G$  is acting transitively on  $X$ . We choose  $z_0 \in X$  such that  $a^q(z_0) = b^q(z_0) = 1$ . We obtain a continuous mapping  $h: G \rightarrow X$  with  $h(g) = \beta_g(z_0)$ , and the induced homomorphism

$$h^0: C_\rho = C(X) \rightarrow C(G).$$

Given a character  $\chi \in \hat{G}$ ,  $\chi^q$  is a function on  $X$ , that is,  $\chi^q = h^0(k)$  where  $k(\beta_g(z_0)) = \chi(g)^q$  or  $k(z) = \chi(g)^q$  whenever  $\beta_g(z_0) = z$ . Using  $h^0$ , we form the tensor product  $C(G) \otimes_{C_\rho} A_\rho$ .

Setting  $K = \chi_1 \otimes a$  and  $L = \chi_2 \otimes b$ , we have  $KL = \rho LK$ . We will show that  $K^q = L^q = 1$ .

Letting  $k_1(\beta_g(z_0)) = \chi_1(g)^q$ , we have,

$$K^q = \chi_1^q \otimes a^q = h^0(k_1) \otimes a^q = 1 \otimes k_1 a^q.$$

For  $z = \beta_g(z_0) \in X$ , we have, as  $k_1 a^q \in C_\rho$ ,

$$(k_1 a^q)(z) = \chi_1(g)^q a^q(z) = \chi_1(g)^q \alpha_g^{-1}(a)^q(z_0) = \chi_1(g)^q \chi_1(g)^{-q} a^q(z_0) = 1.$$

Thus  $K^q = 1$ , and similarly,  $L^q = 1$ .

The subalgebra generated by  $K$  and  $L$  is isomorphic to  $M_q$ ; hence, we obtain a homomorphism

$$C(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_q \xrightarrow{\cong} C(G) \otimes_{C_\rho} A_\rho$$

which is clearly an isomorphism of  $C(G)$ -algebras. Writing  $A_\rho = 1 \otimes A_\rho$ , we have an embedding of  $A_\rho$  in  $C(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_q$ .

## 2. Construction of $A_\rho$

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_q$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^q$ , and let  $K$  and  $L$  be the matrices with  $K(E_i) = \rho^i E_i$  and  $L(E_i) = E_{i+1}$  ( $i$  taken mod  $q$ ). We then have  $KL = \rho LK$ . Let  $G = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |x| = |y| = 1\}$ . In the algebra  $C(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_q$ , we define  $a = x \otimes K$  and  $b = y \otimes L$ . We then have  $ab = \rho ba$  and  $a^q = x^q \otimes I_q$  and  $b^q = y^q \otimes I_q$ . Let  $T^2$  be a torus;  $T^2 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |u| = |v| = 1\}$ . Define a homomorphism  $d: G \rightarrow T^2$  by  $d(x, y) = (x^q, y^q)$ . We may then set  $u = x^q = a^q$  and  $v = y^q = b^q$ .

The algebra  $C(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_q$  is the algebra of sections in the trivial algebra bundle  $G \times M_q$ . Let a group  $H$  of automorphisms of this bundle be generated by  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  where, for  $(x, y, X) \in G \times M_q$ ,

$$h_1(x, y, X) = (x, \rho y, KXK^{-1})$$

$$h_2(x, y, X) = (\rho^{-1}x, y, LXL^{-1}).$$

The group  $H$  is of order  $q^2$  and is acting freely on the base  $G$  with  $G/H = T^2$ . Hence

$$\text{pr}_\rho: B_\rho = (G \times M_q)/H \rightarrow G/H = T^2$$

is an algebra bundle over  $T^2$  with fibre  $M_q$ . The algebra of sections of  $B_\rho$  is the  $H$ -invariant subspace of  $C(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_q$ . The

elements  $a$  and  $b$  are  $H$ -invariant. Any element of  $C(G) \otimes M_q$  may be written uniquely as  $\sum_{i,j=0}^{q-1} f_{ij}(x,y) a^i b^j$  and it is invariant if and only if it is of the form  $\sum_{i,j=0}^{q-1} h_{ij}(u,v) a^i b^j$ . Noting that  $u = a^q$  and  $v = b^q$ , it follows that the algebra of sections in  $B_\rho$  is  $A_\rho$ .

### 3. Automorphisms of $A_\rho$

The centre of  $A_\rho$  is  $C(T^2)$ , when  $A_\rho$  is constructed as above. Any automorphism  $\phi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\rho$  induces an automorphism  $\psi: C(T^2) \rightarrow C(T^2)$ , by restriction. If  $\sigma$  is any other root of unity, an isomorphism  $\phi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\sigma$  induces an automorphism  $\psi: C(T^2) \rightarrow C(T^2)$ , by restriction, as the centre in both algebras has been identified with  $C(T^2)$ .

#### Definition 3.1

Given a  $*$ automorphism  $\psi: C(T^2) \rightarrow C(T^2)$ , let  $f: T^2 \rightarrow T^2$  be the continuous map inducing  $\psi$ . Let  $\psi' = f_*: H_1(T^2) \rightarrow H_1(T^2)$ . As  $H_1(T^2) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ;  $\psi' \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Given  $\psi_i$  and  $f_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ , the automorphism  $\psi_1 \psi_2$  is induced by  $f_2 f_1$ . It follows that  $(\psi_1 \psi_2)' = \psi_2' \psi_1'$  in  $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Lemma 3.1 Let  $\psi: C(T^2) \rightarrow C(T^2)$  be a  $*$ automorphism with  $\psi' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then there is a  $*$ automorphism  $\phi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\rho$  with  $\phi|_{C(T^2)} = \psi$ .

Proof Let  $f: T^2 \rightarrow T^2$  be the homeomorphism inducing  $\psi$ . The condition  $f_* = \psi' = I_2$  implies that  $f$  is homotopic to the identity mapping of  $T^2$ . By the homotopy invariance of fibre bundles, there is a bundle automorphism  $F: B_\rho \rightarrow B_\rho$  converging  $f$ , that is, there is a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_\rho & \xrightarrow{F} & B_\rho \\ \text{pr}_\rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_\rho \\ T^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & T^2 \end{array}$$

where  $F$  is an algebra  $*$ isomorphism in the fibres.

If  $s \in A_\rho$ , then  $s$  is a continuous mapping  $s: T^2 \rightarrow B_\rho$  with  $\text{pr}_\rho \circ s = \text{id}$ . We define  $\phi(s) = F^{-1} \circ s \circ f$ . Then if  $s \in C(T^2)$ ,  $s(u,v)$  is a scalar matrix for each  $(u,v) \in T^2$ , and we have  $\phi(s)(u,v) = F^{-1}s(f(u,v)) = s(f(u,v)) = \psi(s)(u,v)$ . This shows that  $\phi$  extends  $\psi$ . We note that  $F$  may be chosen so that  $\phi$  is a  $*$ automorphism because the structural group of  $B_\rho$  by construction is (a subgroup of)  $U(q)/T^1$ .

Lemma 3.2 Given a matrix  $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . There is a  $*$ automorphism  $\phi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\sigma$  inducing  $\psi$  on the centre  $C(T^2)$  with  $\psi' = M$ , and where  $\sigma = \rho^{\det M}$ .

Proof Let  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  and define  $\phi$  by  $\phi(a) = a^\alpha b^\beta$  and  $\phi(b) = a^\beta b^\delta$ . On the centre  $\phi$  induces  $\psi(u) = \phi(a^q) = c_1 a^{\alpha q} b^{\beta q} = c_1 u^{\alpha \gamma} v^{\beta \gamma}$  and  $\psi(v) = c_2 u^{\beta \gamma} v^{\delta \gamma}$  where  $|c_1| = |c_2| = 1$ . Thus  $\psi$  is induced by the homeomorphism  $f$  with  $f(u,v) = (c_1 u^{\alpha \gamma} v^{\beta \gamma}, c_2 u^{\beta \gamma} v^{\delta \gamma})$ . It follows that  $\phi$  is continuous and is defined on all of  $A_\rho$ . Also  $\psi' = f_* = M$ . We must check the relation  $ab = \rho ba$ . An easy computation shows that  $\phi(ab) = \rho^{\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma} \phi(ba)$ . We thus have an automorphism  $\phi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\rho$  if  $\det M = 1$ , and an isomorphism  $\phi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_{\rho^{-1}}$  if  $\det M = -1$ . In both cases  $\phi$  extends in the obvious way to a  $*$ automorphism of  $C(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_q$ , showing that  $\phi$  is a  $*$ isomorphism.

Corollary 3.1 Let  $\eta: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\sigma$  be a \*isomorphism. Then there is a \*isomorphism  $\xi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\sigma$  or  $\xi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_{\sigma^{-1}}$  which is the identity on the centre  $C(T^2)$ .

Proof Let  $(\eta|C(T^2))' = M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  and let  $\phi: A_\sigma \rightarrow A_\tau$  be a \*isomorphism with  $(\phi|C(T^2))' = M^{-1}$ , where  $\tau = \sigma$  or  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Then  $(\phi\eta|C(T^2))' = I_2$ , and hence there is a \*automorphism  $\xi: A_\rho \rightarrow A_\rho$  with  $\xi|C(T^2) = (\phi\eta|C(T^2))^{-1}$ . We then put  $\xi = \xi\phi\eta$ .

Theorem 3.1 If  $A_\rho$  and  $A_\sigma$  are \*isomorphic, then  $\rho = \sigma$  or  $\rho = \sigma^{-1}$ .

Proof By Corollary 3.1, there is a \*isomorphism  $A_\rho \rightarrow A_\tau$  which is the identity on the centre and where  $\tau = \sigma$  or  $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ . Any such isomorphism is induced by an isomorphism of algebra bundles,  $B_\rho \rightarrow B_\tau$ . The Theorem follows from Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.1 If the algebra bundles  $B_\rho$  and  $B_\sigma$  are isomorphic, then  $\rho = \sigma$ .

The proof of this Proposition will occupy the remaining pages. Given an algebra bundle  $B$  over  $T^2$  with fibre  $M_q$ , we will define a complex number  $\omega(B)$  with  $\omega(B)^q = 1$ , and which depends only on the topological properties of  $B$ . The number  $\omega(B)$  will determine  $B$  completely, but we do not need this fact. The problem is to actually compute  $\omega(B_\rho)$  as a function of  $\rho$ .

Let  $S^1 = \{v \in \mathbb{C} \mid |v| = 1\}$  and define the covering projection  $E: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow T^2$  by  $E(s, v) = (e^{2\pi i s}, v)$ . A trivialization of the induced algebra bundle  $E^*(B)$  over  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  is an algebra bundle isomorphism  $F: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q \rightarrow E^*(B)$ . We will make an explicit construction of a trivialization for  $B = B_\rho$ .



Definition of  $\omega(B)$ . Consider the diagram:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q & \xrightarrow{F} & E^*(B) & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & B \\ & \searrow & \downarrow pr_1 & & \downarrow pr_B \\ & & \mathbb{R} \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{E} & T^2 \end{array}$$

Here  $pr_1$  and  $pr_2$  are the projections from the fibered product  $E^*(B)$  and  $pr_B$  is the bundle projection of  $B$ . Let  $\theta(s,v) = (s+1,v)$  be the covering transformation of  $E$ , and let  $\theta': E^*(B) \rightarrow E^*(B)$  be the induced automorphism of  $E^*(B)$  with  $pr_1 \theta' = \theta pr_1$  and  $pr_2 \theta' = pr_2$ . We obtain an automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q$  defined by  $\theta = F^{-1} \theta' F$ . The group of algebra automorphisms of  $M_q$  is  $GL(q, \mathbb{C}) / \mathbb{C}^* = SL(q, \mathbb{C}) / Z_q$  where  $Z_q = \{zI_q | z^q = 1\}$  is the centre of  $SL(q, \mathbb{C})$ . There is a continuous map

$$\alpha: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow SL(q) / Z_q$$

such that

$$\theta(s,v,X) = (s+1,v, \alpha(s,v) X \alpha(s,v)^{-1})$$

The homotopy class of  $\alpha$  is an element  $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(SL(q)/Z_q) = Z_q$ . We define  $\omega(B)$  by  $[\alpha] = \omega(B)^{-1} I_q$  when viewing  $[\alpha]$  as an element of  $Z_q$ . We will show that  $\omega(B)$  is well defined.

Lemma 3.3 Let  $F_1, F_2: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q \rightarrow E^*(B)$  be two trivializations of  $E^*(B)$ . Let  $\theta_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  ( $i=1,2$ ) be defined as above, using  $F_i$ . Then  $[\alpha_1] = [\alpha_2]$ .

Proof There is a bundle automorphism  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q$  such that  $F_2 = F_1 D$ . There is a continuous mapping  $\beta: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow SL(q)/Z_q$  such that

$$D(s,v,X) = (s,v, \beta(s,v) X \beta(s,v)^{-1}).$$

By definition,  $\theta_2 = F_2^{-1} \theta' F_2 = D^{-1} (F_1^{-1} \theta' F_1) D = D^{-1} \theta_1 D$ , and hence,  $D\theta_2 = \theta_1 D$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & D(s+1, v, \alpha_2(s, v) X \alpha_2(s, v)^{-1}) \\ &= \theta_1(s, v, \beta(s, v) X \beta(s, v)^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (s+1, v, \beta(s+1, v) \alpha_2(s, v) X \alpha_2(s, v)^{-1} \beta(s+1, v)^{-1}) = \\ & (s+1, v, \alpha_1(s, v) \beta(s, v) X \beta(s, v)^{-1} \alpha_1(s, v)^{-1}) \quad \text{for all } X \in M_q. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_2(s, v) = \beta(s+1, v)^{-1} \alpha_1(s, v) \beta(s, v).$$

A homotopy is given by

$$\gamma_c(s, v) = \beta(s+c-1, v)^{-1} \alpha_1(s, v) \beta(s, v), \quad 1 \leq c \leq 2.$$

We have, in the abelian group  $\pi_1(SL(q)/Z_q)$ ,

$$[\alpha_2] = [\gamma_2] = [\gamma_1] = [\beta]^{-1} [\alpha_1] [\beta] = [\alpha_1].$$

Proposition 3.2 Let  $\rho = e^{2\pi i p/q}$  where  $(p, q) = 1$ . Then  
 $\omega(B_\rho) = e^{2\pi i p'/q}$  where  $pp' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .

Proof We shall construct a trivialization of  $E^*(B_\rho)$ . To this end, we define a bundle mapping

$$F_1: \mathbb{R}^2 \times M_q \rightarrow G \times M_q,$$

$$F_1(s, t, X) = (e^{-2\pi i s/q}, e^{2\pi i t/q}, e^{2\pi i t \kappa} X e^{-2\pi i t \kappa}),$$

where  $\kappa$  is the  $q$  by  $q$  matrix with  $\kappa(E_j) = (j/q)E_j$ . We have  $e^{2\pi i q \kappa} = I_q$  and  $e^{2\pi i p \kappa} = K$ .

We compute, to obtain

$$h_1 F_1(s, t, X) = (e^{-2\pi i s/q}, e^{2\pi i (t+p)/q}, e^{2\pi i (t+p) \kappa} X e^{-2\pi i (t+p) \kappa}) = F_1(s, t+p, X),$$

and  $F_1(s, t+q, X) = F_1(s, t, X)$ .

Let  $F_2$  be the composite bundle mapping,

$$F_2: \mathbb{R}^2 \times M_q \xrightarrow{F_1} G \times M_q \rightarrow (G \times M_q)/H = B_\rho.$$

As  $(p,q) = 1$ , we have

$$F_2(s,t,X) = F_2(s,t+1,X).$$

It follows that there is a bundle mapping

$$F_3: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q \rightarrow B_\rho$$

such that  $F_3(s,v,X) = F_2(s,t,X)$  when  $e^{2\pi it} = v$ .  $F_3$  covers the mapping  $E: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow T^2$  in the base spaces, and hence induces a bundle isomorphism  $F: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q \rightarrow E^*(B_\rho)$ . Now we compute the bundle automorphism  $\theta = F^{-1}\theta'F$ . Given  $(s,v,X) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times M_q$ , we have, for some  $Y \in M_q$ ,

$$\theta(s,v,X) = (s+1,v,Y).$$

Because  $\text{pr}_2\theta' = \text{pr}_2$  (see diagram 3.2), we have  $\text{pr}_2F\theta = \text{pr}_2\theta'F = \text{pr}_2F$ . It follows that  $F_3\theta = F_3$  because  $F_3 = \text{pr}_2F$ . Letting  $v = e^{2\pi it}$ , this implies that

$$F_2(s,t,X) = F_2(s+1,t,Y).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(s+1,t,Y) &= (e^{-2\pi i(s+1)/q}, e^{2\pi it/q}, e^{2\pi it\kappa_Y} e^{-2\pi it\kappa}) \\ &= (e^{-2\pi is/q} e^{-2\pi i/q}, e^{2\pi it/q}, e^{2\pi it\kappa_Y} e^{-2\pi it\kappa}) \\ &= h_2^{p'}(e^{-2\pi is/q}, e^{2\pi it/q}, L^{-p'} e^{2\pi it\kappa_Y} e^{-2\pi it\kappa} L^{p'}) \\ &= h_2^{p'} F_1(s,t,\alpha(t)^{-1} Y \alpha(t)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha(t) = e^{-2\pi it\kappa} L^{p'} e^{2\pi it\kappa}$ .

It follows that

$$F_2(s+1, t, Y) = F_2(s, t, \alpha(t)^{-1} Y \alpha(t)),$$

$$F_2(s, t, X) = F_2(s+1, t, \alpha(t) X \alpha(t)^{-1}),$$

and hence

$$\theta(s, v, X) = (s+1, v, \alpha(t) X \alpha(t)^{-1})$$

when  $v = e^{2\pi i t}$ .

By definition of  $\omega(B_\rho)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(B_\rho)^{-1} I_q &= \alpha(1) \alpha(0)^{-1} = e^{-2\pi i k} L^{p'} e^{2\pi i k} L^{-p'} \\ &= K^{-p'} L^{p'} K^{p'} L^{-p'} = \rho^{-(p')^2} I_q = e^{-2\pi i p'/q} I_q, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,  $\omega(B_\rho) = e^{2\pi i p'/q}$ .

Proof of Proposition 3.1 If  $B_\rho$  and  $B_\sigma$  are isomorphic algebra bundles,  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  will both be primitive  $q^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity, and  $\omega(B_\rho) = \omega(B_\sigma)$ , since  $\omega(B)$  is clearly a topological invariant.

If  $\rho = e^{2\pi i p_1/q}$  and  $\sigma = e^{2\pi i p_2/q}$ , we obtain  $e^{2\pi i p_1'/q} = e^{2\pi i p_2'/q}$ , and hence  $p_1' \equiv p_2' \pmod{q}$ . It follows that  $p_1 \equiv p_2 \pmod{q}$ , and hence that  $\rho = \sigma$ .

### References

- [1] S. Albeverio and R. Hoegh-Krohn, Ergodic actions by compact groups on  $C^*$ -algebras, to be published in Mathematische Zeitschrift.
- [2] M.A. Rieffel, Irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebras, Short communication at the International Congress of Mathematicians, 1978.
- [3] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, Imbedding the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra into an AF-algebra, Preprint series in mathematics No 45/1979, Inst. Nat. PCSST, Institutul de Matematica, Bucuresti.